

## Members & Products SCS Special

09.03.2023

# Sovereign Cloud Stack:

One platform – standardized, built and operated by many.

How we drive standardization & certification.

Manuela Urban  
Kurt Garloff

[scs@osb-alliance.com](mailto:scs@osb-alliance.com)

# Vision

## Sovereign Cloud Stack:

*One platform - standardized, built and operated by many.*

SCS combines the best of Cloud Computing in one unified standard. SCS is built, backed, and operated by an active open-source community worldwide. Together we put users in control of their data by enabling cloud operators through a decentralized and federated cloud stack- leveraging true digital sovereignty to foster trust in clouds.



# Sovereign Cloud Stack Deliverables



Certifiable Standards




Modular Open Source  
Reference Implementation



Operational Knowledge

# Mission

1. Simplify operating modern cloud infrastructure
2. Enable federation and x-operator scaling
3. Create and adopt certifiable standards
4. Create transparency
5. Enable choice for users



# SCS Project – funded by



Federal Ministry  
for Economic Affairs  
and Climate Action

- 2020-21 validated by SPRIND
- 2021-24 funded by BMWK with EUR 14.9 million
- 9 SCS team members @OSBA:  
Alexander Diab, Kurt Garloff, Bianca Hollery-Pfister, Eduard Itrich, Felix Kronlage-Dammers, Dirk Loßack, Jan Schoone, Manuela Urban, Max Wolfs
- 19 Public tenders to be awarded for relevant SW-development service contracts, see <https://scs.community/tenders/>

# Active & growing community (companies)

23|Technologies



SPRIN-D



citynetwork



C CLOUDICAL

dataport

dilossacon



GONICUS  
PIONEERS OF OPEN SOURCE

gridscale

LEITWERK  
Die Zukunft Ihrer IT

noris network

Open Infrastructure FOUNDATION

OSB Open Source Business ALLIANCE  
Bundesverband für digitale Souveränität e.V.



OX Stay Open.



OVHcloud

plusseryer

Stackable


StackHPC

Syself


univention  
be open

WAVECON

# Open, federated infrastructure for industry, science, administration




# Upstream first!





# SCS: Technical foundation for Gaia-X



# SCS: Realize Digital Sovereignty



Competence (esp. Operations)

Ability to shape technology

Choice / Switching / Interoperability

Legal Compliance (GDPR ...)



<https://rdcu.be/cWdBJ>

# SCS Certification

## Dimensions of Digital Sovereignty

4: Operational Transparency and Competence

3: Technology transparency, ability to shape

2: Choice, Interoperability, Portability

1: Legal Compliance

0: None

## SCS Certification Levels



4: **“SCS-Sovereign”** – Ops/IAM Stacks also fully open, transparency w.r.t monitoring, incidents, ... Contribution to “Open Operation” (5x Open)

3: **“SCS-Open”** – SBOM for functional stack available, fully open (4x open acc. OpenInfra)

2: **“SCS-Compatible”** – Technical Compatibility, interoperable (Conformance tests pass: CNCF, OIF, SCS)

1: ENISA / Gaia-X labels / GDPR (no extra SCS-Cert)

VMware vCloud  
& Tanzu  
AzureStack

OTC, OVH  
IONOS cloud  
Delos (MSFT)  
TSI/GCP cloud

AWS/Azure/GCP  
AliBaba

Betacloud  
PlusCloudOpen  
Wavestack

StackHPC  
Cloud&Heat  
StackIT  
Cleura  
...

# Open Operations




## Open Operations Manifesto

Building a community of practice and transparency for Operations

We – *the founding and supporting organizations* – proclaim our primary objectives to be transparency along with the sharing of knowledge and are in the process of building a community of practice – Open Operations.

<https://openoperations.org>

# SCS Ref. Architecture (current status)




# SCS: Achievements


- Public Cloud offerings built with SCS reference implementation:



BSI C5-Certification of *pluscloud open*

- Release 3 (2022-09-21). Release 4 (2023-03-22)
- Infrastructure layer for  created
- In evaluation or built up in various organizations (industry, administration, science)

- Building block of the Deutsche Verwaltungscloud-Strategie of the  IT-Planungsrat

- Proof of Concept with 

- Active & growing community

# SCS Standards

# SCS: Why standardization?

- Real choice (2nd dimension DigiSov) requires lock-in-less choice
  - Technically fully compatible providers available
  - Self-Hosting fully compatible infrastructure must be realistic
- „Virtual Hyperscaler“ vision
  - Users can leverage many clouds as one
  - Requires common feature set, common APIs, common system behavior (baseline)
  - Requires user federation
- Enables joint development, joint operational practices



# SCS: Standardization process

- Preference to leverage/reference/contribute to existing upstream standards
- Process: Described in [gh:SCS/standards/Standards/SCS-0001-v1](https://github.com/SCS/standards/Standards/SCS-0001-v1)
  - Lifecycle: Pre-merge Draft → Merged Draft → Stabilized (or Rejection) → Deprecation (all via github PRs)
  - Standards are versioned
  - Discussed in SCS technical teams, reach out to broader communities when useful, get operator feedback
  - Standards should come with compliance check tools
- Driven by interoperability needs from users (DevOps teams that operate workloads on SCS infra)
  - Internal needs: Container layer creates InterOp requirements to Infra layer, platform services to container layer
- Standards are extensible
  - Common baseline, growing over time, overdelivery allowed
- IaaS and KaaS layers currently (both also requiring IAM Federation), Platform services in the future
- Current focus on SCS-compatible, openness checks (SBOM) and open operations standards in the future

# SCS certification testing framework

- Defined in [gh:SCS/standards/Standards/scs-0003-v1](https://github.com/SCS/standards/Standards/scs-0003-v1)
- YAML file, defining a version X of certification requirements valid in a timespan for a layer (currently iaas or kaas), listing all needed (mandatory and optional) standards (SCS and upstream) along with compliance tests
- Test tool [gh:SCS/standards/Tests/scs-compliance-check.py](https://github.com/SCS/standards/Tests/scs-compliance-check.py) that can be run (with normal customer privileges!) against IaaS or KaaS under test
- Available as docker container
- Continuous compliance monitoring (github action)

```
name: SCS Compatible
url: https://raw.githubusercontent.com/SovereignCloudStack/standards/main/Design-Docs/tools/scs-compatible
iaas:
  - version: v1
    stabilized_at: 2021-01-01
    # obsoleted_at: 2023-10-03
    standards:
      - name: Flavor naming
        url: https://raw.githubusercontent.com/SovereignCloudStack/standards/main/Standards/SCS-0003-v1
        check_tools:
          - executable: ./iaas/flavor-naming/flavor-names-openstack.py
            args: "-1"
      - name: Image metadata
        url: https://raw.githubusercontent.com/SovereignCloudStack/standards/main/Standards/SCS-0004-v1
        check_tools:
          - executable: ./iaas/image-metadata/image-md-check.py
            args: "-v"
      - name: OpenStack Powered Compute v2020.11
        url: https://opendev.org/openinfra/interop/src/branch/master/guidelines/2020.11.json
        condition: mandatory
    # Unfortunately, no wrapper to run refstack yet, needs to be added
```

## SCS compatible clouds

This is a list of clouds that we test on a nightly basis against our `scs-compatible` certification level.

Name	Description	Operator	Compliance check
<a href="#">gx-scs</a>	Dev environment provided for SCS & GAIA-X context	PlusServer GmbH	<a href="#">Compliance of gx-scs</a> <span>passing</span>
<a href="#">pluscloud open</a>	Public cloud for customers	PlusServer GmbH	<a href="#">Compliance of pco-prod1</a> <span>passing</span> <a href="#">Compliance of pco-prod2</a> <span>passing</span>
<a href="#">Wavestack</a>	Public cloud for customers	noris network AG/Wavecon GmbH	<a href="#">Compliance of wavestack</a> <span>passing</span>

# SCS compatible on IaaS layer (1)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References	
Systematic Flavor-naming	Allow IaC to work across clouds (incl. k8s-capi-provider)	V1 done (mandatory) V2 draft (mandatory?)	Done Done	flavor-naming scs-0100-v2	R
Mandatory flavors	Allow IaC to work across clouds (incl. k8s-capi-provider)	V1 done (mandatory) V2 draft (mandatory?) V3 ADR for SSD flavors	Done Done Implicit	flavor-naming scs-0100-v2 scs-0110-v1	R
Flavor discoverability	IaC: Discover properties beyond vCPU/RAM/ Disk	TBD (extend and standardize extra_specs)	TBD	standards/#74	
Image metadata	Transparency on image properties (e.g. login, build date) and update promises	V1 done (mandatory)	Done	Image-Properties	R

# SCS compatible on IaaS layer (2)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References	
Entropy for VMs	Workloads (encryption) expect there to be enough ...	Draft	TBD	<a href="#">standards/#210</a>	R
IPv4 networking: Local networks FIPs for public net	Common source of divergence	Idea		<a href="#">issues/#167</a>	R
IPv6 networking: Local networks Public Prov. network	ditto	Idea		<a href="#">issues/#166</a>	
Metadata source (w/ user-data, vendor-data)	Required for customization of VMs	Idea			R

# SCS compatible on IaaS layer (3)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References
DNS and NTP for VMs	Working DNS without outgoing internet access, correct system time	Draft Draft	TBD TBD	<a href="#">issues/#229</a> <a href="#">issues/#230</a> <a href="#">issues/#231</a>
Domain admin role	Allow project creation, user management as self-service (resellers)	Idea – various workarounds (policies, APIs exist), upstream discussions started	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#184</a>
Identity federation via OIDC	Federate users from federated clouds	Blog post (device auth grant flow needed)	TBD	<a href="#">Blog</a>
OpenStack powered Compute 2022.11	Baseline	<b>Done (Upstream)</b>	Refstack in Ref.Impl. but not generic	<a href="#">Guidelines</a>

r  
R

# SCS compatible on IaaS layer (4)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References
L3 loadbalancer (OVN)	Needed for good externalTrafficPolicy: Local support	WIP	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#251</a>
Definition of AZ	Availability expectations when spreading over AZs	Idea: Meaningful level of independence (power, net, fire, cooling, ...)	TBD	
Definition of Region	What is shared?	Idea: Share identities, replicate images	TBD	

r

r

# SCS compatible on KaaS layer (1)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References	
CNCF conformance tests	Baseline	Done	sonobuoy	Test driver	R
Offered K8s version recency	Security baseline	ADR Done	TBD	SCS-0210-v1	R
K8s version support period	Avoid enforcing unneeded churn	Idea: (Support minor version at least as long upstream does)	TBD		R
Default storage class properties	Reasonable default storage always available	ADR Done	TBD	SCS-0211-v1	R
Additional storage classes (IOPS, RWX)	RWX needed by some workloads; IOPS to allow for storage performance	WIP	TBD	issues/#214	
Anti-affinity (soft for workers)	Availability expectations from deployed workloads	WIP	TBD	issues/#226	R

# SCS compatible on KaaS layer (2)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References
CNI with network policies	Network controls needed for security	TBW	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#211</a>
Ingress / Gateway service (opt-in) with client IPs	Allow customers to do access control	WIP	TBD	
Identity federation via OIDC	Allow to reuse identities from underlying cloud or external IdP	Research	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#194</a>
Machine identities	The controlling infra knows who you are ... Avoid complexity.	Idea	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#163</a>

R

R



# SCS compatible on KaaS layer (3)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References
Control plane backup/maintenance	Avoid losing cluster status	TBW	TBD	<a href="#">k8s-capi/#258</a>
Kube API access controls	Customer requests	WIP	TBD	<a href="#">k8s-capi/#246</a>
Metrics service (opt-out)	Standardized service needs to be available	WIP	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#224</a>
Container registry (opt-in)	Very popular demand	WIP	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#263</a>

r  
r  
R  
r

# SCS compatible on KaaS layer (4)

What	Why	Status	Tests	References
Cluster management API	Unified cluster lifecycle management (capi / Gardener style)	Research	TBD	<a href="#">issues/#181</a>
Gitops controller for Cluster Mmgt	Vision	Research	TBD	

# SCS Standardization: Present and Future

- 2022 focus was on reference implementation, 2023 focus is on standards
  - Tender package finally awarded (waiting for release of funds)
- SCS standards are meant to be implementable in more than one way
- Most of the above mentioned standards are already implemented (R) or partially implemented (r) in the Ref. Impl. - normally a prerequisite for finalizing a standard
- Not every above mentioned discussion necessarily ends up being a mandatory standard
- The more operators join the more useful the standards
- Standardization just started – largest part ahead of us
- **Join us** if you agree with the fundamental approach
  - Team meets, github (standards and issue repos: issues, PRs)

# Members & Products SCS Special

## SCS – Modular Reference Implementation & Open Operations

Donnerstag, 11.05.2023  
17.00 – 18.00 Uhr

# You are invited!



One platform — standardized, built and operated by many.



Gefördert durch:



aufgrund eines Beschlusses  
des Deutschen Bundestages



## #SCS Summit

23. - 24.05.2023, Berlin

Supported by:

on the basis of a decision  
by the German Bundestag



<https://scs.community>

Gefördert durch:



aufgrund eines Beschlusses  
des Deutschen Bundestages



<https://scs.community/>

//